



Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com>

New version

8 messages

Gianluca Caterina <gcaterin@endicott.edu> 23 May 2022 at 17:25
 To: Rocco Gangle <rgangle@endicott.edu>, Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com>

Sorry, there were a few "we" left, now the version on Overleaf should be fine.

Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com> 23 May 2022 at 17:50
 To: Gianluca Caterina <gcaterin@endicott.edu>
 Cc: Rocco Gangle <rgangle@endicott.edu>

Thanks!

I just finished writing a script that compares two versions downloaded from Overleaf, and that uses tools that I am familiar with - sorry for not having learned Overleaf yet =/ - and as soon as a meeting ends here I will check everything. I believe that everything is ok, but I want to be sure that the "first-person version" is in the bibliography and that a few places mention that it contains examples of how to extend the diagrammatic language, and applications...

More news in a few hours!

Cheers, E. ...

[Quoted text hidden]

Gianluca Caterina <gcaterin@endicott.edu> 23 May 2022 at 17:50
 To: Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com>
 Cc: Rocco Gangle <rgangle@endicott.edu>

Excelent!!

Cheers,
G

[Quoted text hidden]

Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com> 24 May 2022 at 00:24
 To: Gianluca Caterina <gcaterin@endicott.edu>
 Cc: Rocco Gangle <rgangle@endicott.edu>

Hi Gianluca and Rocco,

the changes are FANTASTIC - and I realized that I don't have much practice with the passive voice in English, so if I had to make the changes myself it would have taken me much longer, and the result wouldn't be 1/10 as good... many, many, many thanks! And the changes in the sections that needed major rewrites are great too!!! =)

I made some minor changes to the version on Overleaf. The list below includes both the changes that I made and the changes that I am not sure how to write.

1) I added an entry "OchsMis1" to the .bib files - it points to the paper "On the the missing diagrams in Category Theory (first-person version)", that is on Arxiv.

2) The entry "Freyd76" in the .bib files had this line,

```
note =      {\url{http://angg.twu.net/Freyd76.html}},
```

with a pointer to scan of that paper. I deleted it - and in section 4

I replaced "See the scan in..." by "See the paper...".

3) I commented out the mentions to Kan extensions and geometric morphisms in the abstract - Kan extensions and GMs were explained in the deleted sections.

4) On page 3, what do you think of ending the section 1 with something like this? "For reasons of space we had to omit several examples of how to extend this diagrammatic language by adding new conventions to it. These examples can be found at \cite[Section 8]{OchsMis1}."

5) On page 4, what do you think of rewriting the beginning of the convention (CYo) like this idea, but written in a better way (i.e., please help!!!)?

"In some constructions related to the Yoneda Lemma the part of the construction that looks like a part of an adjunction is drawn as that part of an adjunction [would be drawn]. For example, ``The functor $U: \mathcal{R}ing \rightarrow \mathcal{S}et$ is representable" (see \cite[Section 8]{OchsMis1}) is drawn as:

(diagram)

Its upper part looks like a part of an adjunction, but the rest does not."

6) On page 19, section 6, I rewrote the first sentence to get rid of the pointers to deleted sections. The old version is still in the .tex, but commented out.

7) On page 23, section 6.6, I commented out the pointer to the deleted section "\cite{ness}".

That's it for the moment...

Cheers, E.

[Quoted text hidden]

Gianluca Caterina <gcaterin@endicott.edu>
To: Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com>
Cc: Rocco Gangle <rgangle@endicott.edu>

24 May 2022 at 12:43

Hi Eduardo,

Thank you! I am glad that you are O.K. with the new version. Would you mind upload the version you just revised on Meteor so we can go ahead with the process?

Thanks again.

Gianluca and Rocky

[Quoted text hidden]

Gianluca Caterina <gcaterin@endicott.edu>
To: Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com>
Cc: Rocco Gangle <rgangle@endicott.edu>

24 May 2022 at 15:54

Hi Eduardo,

I am sorry I overlooked some of your points. I think that your proposed changes 4) and 5) are both good, and I have included in the text. I changed a bit 4), and I see that 5) may be a bit hard to follow for the reader, but to me it makes sense and can't think of a better way to put it.

At this point I think the paper is good to go. During the last stage, you'll get the proofs and will still be able to fix a few typos, if there are any.

Thank you!

Best,

Gianluca

[Quoted text hidden]

Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com>
To: Gianluca Caterina <gcaterin@endicott.edu>
Cc: Rocco Gangle <rgangle@endicott.edu>

25 May 2022 at 02:41

Hi!
I checked everything and uploaded the paper to Meteor!
Please tell me if there are any problems...
Cheers, thanks, etc =),
Eduardo

[Quoted text hidden]

Gianluca Caterina <gcaterin@endicott.edu>
To: Eduardo Ochs <eduardoochs@gmail.com>
Cc: Rocco Gangle <rgangle@endicott.edu>

29 May 2022 at 12:55

Hi Eduardo,

I hope all is well. This is just to confirm that the chapter has been sent into the “production” stage by the editors.

Thank you for your patience and all the good work.

Cheers,
Gianluca

[Quoted text hidden]